

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/597,784	08/08/2006	Yoshihiro Mushika	OKUDP0178US	6306	
51921 7550 IIII22009 MARK D. SARALINO (PAN) RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP 1621 EUCLID AVENUE 1971 FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44115			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			TAMAL	TAMAI, KARL I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/12/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/597,784 MUSHIKA, YOSHIHIRO Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit KARL I.E. TAMAI 2834 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 21-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-25.27-40. 42.and 44-53 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 26,41 and 43 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/597,784 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 21-25, 27-34, 36-40, 42, and 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aubuchon (US 6906848) and Greywall (US 6690850) and DiCarlo (US 20040160659). Aubuchon teaches an electrostatic micromirror having a base 60 with a plurality of elastic supports 58(see figure 3a-3c) intermediate-surrounded by the driving electrodes 78. Aubuchon teaches a plurality of actuators on the base (See figure 6A). Aubuchon teaches the actuator having multiple tilt axis and vertical motion (col. 11, line 10-25). Aubuchon teaches the elastic supporting member is connected to an outer periphery of the base (fig. 15i'-15 n'). Aubuchon does not teach the distance between the elastic first point of application and the second point of application being 2L with the spring modulus having the relationship: 0.5 < L2kz/kr< 2.0 or the distance between each of the plurality of points of application and the position at which the elastic supporting member supports the movable section is R(m) with the spring modulus having the relationship: kz, krx, kry, and R satisfy the relationships of: 1 < R2kz/krx < 5: $1 < R^2kz/krv < 5$: and 0.67 < krx/krv < 1.5 or $1 < L^2kz/kr$, more particularly 2< R²kz/krx; 2 < R²kz/kry, or the elastic supporting member is connected to an outer periphery of the base and is connected to a central portion of the moveable

Application/Control Number: 10/597,784

Art Unit: 2834

section. Greywall teaches the spacing of the electrodes and the length of the spring L are result effective variables to allow rotation of the moving plate (see col. 6, lines 25-57). Greywall teaches the spring constant (or spring modulus) in terms of L, the length of the spring 218 being result effective. DiCarlo teaches the elastic supporting member 12a is connected to an outer periphery of the base (at 12b) and is connected to a central portion of the moveable section (at 14b) to provide support for the mirror 14. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the electrostatic actuator art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator of Aubuchon with the distance between the elastic first point of application and the second point of application being 2L with the spring modulus having the relationship: $0.5 < L^2kz/kr < 2.0$ or the distance between each of the plurality of points of application and the position at which the elastic supporting member supports the movable section is R(m) with the spring modulus having the relationship: kz, krx, kry, and R satisfy the relationships of: 1< $R^2kz/krx < 5$: 1 < $R^2kz/krv < 5$: and 0.67 < krx/krv < 1.5: or 1 < L^2kz/kr more particularly 2 < R2kz/krx; 2 < R2kz/kry to optimize the rotational support to the moving plate as taught by Greywall, and with the elastic supporting member connected to an outer periphery of the base and connected to a central portion of the moveable section to provide support for the mirror, as taught by DiCarlo.

In regards to claims 22 and 37, Aubuchon teaches the springs 58 supporting the plate 48, including the central portion.

In regards to claim 23 and 38, Aubuchon teaches the mirror is electrically conductive 116 for attraction with the drive electrodes (col. 9- col. 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/597,784

Art Unit: 2834

In regards to claim 24 and 39, Aubuchon teaches the electrodes are symmetrical to the axis extending through the elastic members (see figure 4B).

In regards to claim 25 and 42, Aubuchon does not teach a turn back in the spring, but Greywall teaches the serpentine springs with a turnback 218 to provide a compact spring (col. 6, line 10). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the electrostatic actuator art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator of Aubuchon with the spring having a turnback section to prevent undesirable rotation of moving plate, as taught by Greywall.

In regards to claims 27 and 44, Aubuchon figure 13b shows one end of the driving sections attracting the movable section with the other end becoming more distant from the base.

In regards to claims 30-32 and 48-50, Aubuchon teaches a control section to provide a voltage the drive electrodes corresponding to the desired deflection of the mirror (col. 14, line 8). The steps must includes three or more steps, corresponding to a step for each of the electrode and step for all the electrodes being active for vertical movement to provide a target displace. Aubuchon teaches the control section providing a voltage to provide the desired displacement to the steerable mirrors.(col. 14, lines 1-10).

In regards to claims 33, 34, 51, and 52, Aubuchon teaches light sources 163-165 being reflected by the micromirrors 158, 160, 162 to steer the light beams.

In regards to claim 40, Aubuchon teaches the length of the elastic supporting member is variable with one end connected to the base and the other to the movable Application/Control Number: 10/597,784

Art Unit: 2834

section (see figure 15 A-R and 15A-R') to provide superior support to the various mirror plates(col. 20, line 45-65), but does not teach the relationship $0.8 \le H/R \le 1.6$. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the electrostatic actuator art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator of Aubuchon and Greywall with the relationship $0.8 \le H/R \le 1.6$ to provide superior support to the mirror as taught by Aubuchon.

In regards to claim 47, Aubuchon does not teach the ratio of $0.29 \le R/P \le 0.37$. Aubuchon teaches a plurality of millions of microactuators (see figure 6A, col. 12 line19-37) having a pitch. The actuator must have appropriate spacing to operate the microactuators as a lens. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the electrostatic actuator art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator Aubuchon and Greywall with the ratio of $0.29 \le R/P \le 0.37$ to operate the array of mirrors together as a lens.

3. Claims 35 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aubuchon (US 6906848), Greywall (US 6690850), and DiCarlo (US 20040160659), in further view of Mushika et al. (Mushika)(WO 021061488 with US 6952304 as a partial translation). Aubuchon, Greywall, and DiCarlo teach every aspect of the invention except the control section including a movable section displaced in accordance with the wave front information generation. Mushika teaches the microactuator controlling the mirrors based on the wave front information generation section to correct for disturbances (col. 29, lines 8-23, and figure 8). It would have been

Art Unit: 2834

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the electrostatic actuator art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator of Aubuchon, Greywall, and DiCarlo with the control section including a movable section displaced in accordance with the wave front information generation section to correction for variations in disturbances such as disk tilt and sticking by fingerprints, as taught by Mushika.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 26, 41, and 43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/26/09 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (571) 272 -2036.

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Quyen Leung, can be reached at (571) 272 - 8188. The facsimile number for the Group is (571) 273 - 8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,784 Page 7

Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Karl I Tamai/ PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER November 6, 2009